

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/065,373 10/10/2002		Mark A. Lillis	PES-0075	1008		
23462 75	590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER			
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			RUTHKOSKY, MARK			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1745	-		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		٠ -			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/065,3	73	LILLIS, MARK A.	
		Examine		Art Unit	
		Mark Ru		1745	
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON' - If the period for reg - If NO period for regly with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of a validable under the provisions of a THS from the mailing date of this communication of the process of the specified above is less than thirty (30) or ply is specified above, the maximum statuth in the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status	•				
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this	ive to communication(s) filed on is FINAL . 2b s application is in condition for accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is r r allowance except	ion-final. for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition of Cla	uims				
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-20 is/are pending in the appearance above claim(s) is/are is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			·
Application Paper	'S				
10) The draw Applicant Replacem	ification is objected to by the E ing(s) filed on is/are: a may not request that any objection that drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to b	a) accepted or b on to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowle a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co	dgment is made of a claim for Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority do pies of the certified copies of the priority do pies of the certified copies of plication from the International tached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of plication from the International tached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of plication from the International tached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do certified copies of the certified	ocuments have been been been the priority documents and the priority documents are priority documents.	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s)					
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	52) LI

RESTRICTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-7, drawn to a hydrogen gas detector calibration system, classified in class 73, subclass 1.02.

- II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a process for calibrating a hydrogen gas detector, classified in class 73, subclass 1.03.
- III. Claims 11-16, drawn to a process for operating an electrochemical system, classified in class 429, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to a process for operating a hydrogen gas detector, classified in class 376, subclass 256.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product, invention I, is drawn to a hydrogen gas detector calibration system, while the process is for operating a hydrogen gas detector. Invention II is a process of calibrating a hydrogen gas detector, which is a process of using the detector. The product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product including a process of calibrating a detector and a process of operating a detector. The process of using the detector in inventions II and IV are patentably distinct based on the operating steps.

Invention III is to a process for operating an electrochemical system, as compared with inventions I, II and IV are to a process for calibrating and operating hydrogen gas detector and detector calibration system. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, functions and effects based on the electrochemical system.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I, II, and IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-

Application/Control Number: 10/065,373 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Ruthkosky
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1745

2/28/05